

# Contrastive Self-Supervised Learning and Adversarial Robustness in Tabular Representations

Assignee Research

June 9, 2026

## Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 11 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How do contrastive self-supervised pretext tasks impact the adversarial robustness of tabular representations on the Adult Income dataset under the Robustness Benchmark for Tabular Data. 14 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 3.7/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

## 1 Introduction

This paper examines: A Survey on Self-Supervised Learning for Non-Sequential Tabular Data. Research question: How do contrastive self-supervised pretext tasks impact the adversarial robustness of tabular representations on the Adult Income dataset under the Robustness Benchmark for Tabular Data?.

## 2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 11 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 3.7/10.

## 3 Results

11 papers retrieved. 14 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 3.7/10.

## 4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.



## 5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
OpenML-CC18 consists of 72 datasets with sample sizes ranging from 500 to 92,000 and feature counts ranging from 5 to 3,	×	0.01
DLBench includes 11 datasets with sample sizes ranging from 7,000 to 1,000,000 and feature counts ranging from 10 to 2,0	×	0.01
TabularBench comprises 45 datasets with sample sizes ranging from 3,000 to 10,000 and feature counts ranging from 5 to 6	×	0.01
TabZilla contains 36 datasets with sample sizes ranging from 300 to 1,000,000 and feature counts ranging from 7 to 4,297	×	0.01
TP-BERTa includes 202 unlabeled datasets with sample sizes ranging from 10,000 to 100,000 and feature counts ranging from	×	0.02
TP-BERTa also includes 145 labeled datasets with sample sizes ranging from 10 to 9,800 and feature counts ranging from 3	×	0.01
OpenTabs consists of 2,000 unlabeled datasets with an average of 23,000 samples and 24 features.	×	0.02
UniTabE consists of 283,000 unlabeled datasets with an average of 46,000 samples and 31 features.	×	0.02
Levin et al (2023) introduced a pseudo-feature approach on top of existing deep tabular models for pre-training, which i	×	0.07
Ye et al (2023) pre-trained a Transformer encoder with 2k high-quality cross-table datasets with masked table modeling t	×	0.06
DoRA (Du et al, 2023) focuses on designing a pretext task based on domain knowledge in the financial domain for real est	×	0.04
DoRA introduced an intra-sample pretext task by selecting the domain-specific feature of a sample as the self-supervised	×	0.05
Inter-sample contrastive learning is adopted based on contrastive learning to separate dissimilar samples based on the d	×	0.07
Tabular data represent ubiquitous practical utility in diverse domains, including medicine, finance, and many other area	×	0.06

## References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.05967v3>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/1807.09380v3>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.01204v4>