

# Layer-Wise Output Reconstruction in ReST-KV for Long-Sequence Task Performance

Assignee Research

June 7, 2026

## Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 10 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: To what extent does the layer-wise output reconstruction in ReST-KV mitigate performance degradation on long-sequence tasks (e.g., LongBench, PG19) when compared to baseline methods like. 14 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 4.2/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

## 1 Introduction

This paper examines: ReST-KV: Robust KV Cache Eviction with Layer-wise Output Reconstruction and Spatial-Temporal Smoothing. Research question: To what extent does the layer-wise output reconstruction in ReST-KV mitigate performance degradation on long-sequence tasks (e.g., LongBench, PG19) when compared to baseline methods like first-in-first-out (FIFO) or random eviction, as measured by perplexity and task accuracy?.

## 2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 10 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 4.2/10.

## 3 Results

10 papers retrieved. 14 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 4.2/10.

## 4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

## 5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
ReST-KV is evaluated on five open-source LLMs: Llama2-Chat, Gemma-Instruct, Llama3-Instruct, Mistral-Instruct-v0.3, and	×	0.05
ReST-KV is compared with five baseline methods: StreamingLLM, H2O, TOVA, SnapKV, and LaCache.	×	0.04
ReST-KV is evaluated on four benchmarks: LongBench, RULER, Needle-in-a-Haystack, and InniteBench.	×	0.10
ReST-KV achieves the best performance in most cases on the LongBench benchmark.	×	0.05
ReST-KV reduces peak memory usage by approximately 36.0% compared to full cache at a context length of 128k.	×	0.07
ReST-KV achieves an approximate 10.61 $\times$ speedup over the full cache method at a 128K context length.	×	0.10
ReST-KV is compatible with prell sparse attention approaches, yielding a Time-To-First-Token (TTFT) speedup of up to 3.	×	0.05
ReST-KV’s computational complexity is comparable to that of SnapKV.	×	0.04
LLMs typically decode text in an auto-regressive manner, which is computationally expensive.	×	0.08
KV cache reduces redundant computation by storing previously computed keys and values.	×	0.06
At each decoding step $t$ , the KV cache stores previously computed keys and values $K_{1:t-1}$ , $V_{1:t-1}$ for $X[1 : t - 1]$ .	×	0.04
The model requires only the current token $x_t$ to generate $x_{t+1}$ , rather than the full sequence $X = [x_1, \dots, x_t]$ .	×	0.02
The query $q_t$ , key $k_t$ , and value $v_t$ are computed as $q_t = x_t W_Q$ , $k_t = x_t W_K$ , $v_t = x_t W_V$ .	×	0.02
The currently computed $k_t$ and $v_t$ will be concatenated with the previously cached keys and values, and used in the attent	×	0.02

## References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.09649v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.08840v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2606.01563v1>