

CLAM Sample Efficiency in Meta-World Compared to Continuous Latent Variable Methods

Assignee Research

June 9, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 14 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How does CLAM's sample efficiency compare to other continuous latent variable methods like Meta-Learned Latent Dynamics (MLLD) or Neural Processes (NPs) on the Meta-World benchmark. 0 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 1.7/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Meta-World Conditional Neural Processes. Research question: How does CLAM's sample efficiency compare to other continuous latent variable methods like Meta-Learned Latent Dynamics (MLLD) or Neural Processes (NPs) on the Meta-World benchmark?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 14 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 1.7/10.

3 Results

14 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 1.7/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2602.00982v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.11448v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2302.10320v1>