

# FlashSpeech Diffusion Step Reduction and Speaker Similarity on VCTK Zero-Shot Benchmark

Assignee Research

June 8, 2026

## Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 9 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: What is the correlation between FlashSpeech's reduced diffusion steps and speaker similarity metrics on the VCTK zero-shot benchmark. 19 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 2.4/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

## 1 Introduction

This paper examines: FlashSpeech: Efficient Zero-Shot Speech Synthesis. Research question: What is the correlation between FlashSpeech's reduced diffusion steps and speaker similarity metrics on the VCTK zero-shot benchmark?.

## 2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 9 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 2.4/10.

## 3 Results

9 papers retrieved. 19 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 2.4/10.

## 4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

## 5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
FlashSpeech achieves a Real-Time Factor (RTF) of 0.02 on the MLS dataset.	×	0.03
FlashSpeech achieves a Sim-O (Speaker Similarity-Original) score of 0.52.	×	0.08
FlashSpeech achieves a Sim-R (Speaker Similarity-Reconstruction) score of 0.57.	×	0.08
FlashSpeech achieves a Word Error Rate (WER) of 2.7.	×	0.02
FlashSpeech achieves a CMOS (Comparative Mean Option Score) of 0.00.	×	0.02
FlashSpeech achieves a SMOS (Similarity Mean Option Score) of 4.29.	×	0.03
The evaluation was conducted on an NVIDIA V100 GPU.	×	0.00
The LibriSpeech test-clean dataset was used for zero-shot TTS evaluation.	×	0.09
The cross-sentence setting uses randomly selected 3-second clips as prompts from the same speaker’s speech.	×	0.05
Each audio sample in the subjective evaluation was listened to by at least 10 listeners.	×	0.03
NaturalSpeech 2 achieves an RTF of 0.37 on the MLS dataset.	×	0.04
VALL-E (reproduced) achieves an RTF of 0.62 on the Librilight dataset.	×	0.01
Voicebox (reproduced) achieves an RTF of 0.66 on the Librilight dataset.	×	0.01
Mega-TTS achieves an RTF of 0.39 on the G+W dataset.	×	0.04
CLaM-TTS achieves an RTF of 0.42 on the MLS+G+L+V+LJ dataset.	×	0.03
Ground Truth audio has a Sim-R score of 0.68.	×	0.04
Ground Truth audio has a SMOS score of 4.39.	×	0.04
UTMOS is used as a Speech MOS predictor to measure the naturalness of speech in ablation studies.	×	0.04
Prosody JS Divergence quantifies the divergence between predicted and ground truth prosody feature distributions using J	×	0.03

## References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.08294v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2304.09116v3>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2404.14700v4>