

SpikingBrain and Llama 2 13B Robustness in Adversarial Repository-Level Coding Tasks

Assignee Research

June 6, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 13 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How does the robustness of SpikingBrain compare to Llama 2 13B in repository-level coding tasks when evaluated under adversarial conditions (e.g., corrupted or obfuscated code) using the pass@1 metric. 0 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 5.2/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Comparative Code Structure Analysis using Deep Learning for Performance Prediction. Research question: How does the robustness of SpikingBrain compare to Llama 2 13B in repository-level coding tasks when evaluated under adversarial conditions (e.g., corrupted or obfuscated code) using the pass@1 metric?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 13 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 5.2/10.

3 Results

13 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 5.2/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2205.14697v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.07651v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.07660v2>