

Pre-Training Data Diversity and Robustness of Large Multimodal Models in Adversarial Code Diagrams

Assignee Research

June 8, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 14 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: What is the correlation between pre-training data diversity and the robustness of LMMs against adversarial perturbations in code-related diagrams. 13 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 3.5/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Multimodal Adversarial Defense for Vision-Language Models by Leveraging One-To-Many Relationships. Research question: What is the correlation between pre-training data diversity and the robustness of LMMs against adversarial perturbations in code-related diagrams?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 14 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 3.5/10.

3 Results

14 papers retrieved. 13 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 3.5/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
MAT consistently achieves significantly greater robustness against multimodal attacks than the unimodal AT methods, FARE	×	0.13
The improvements are substantial and consistent for CLIP on Flickr30k and COCO.	×	0.06
The improvements are substantial and consistent for ALBEF on both datasets.	×	0.04
MAT largely improves multimodal robustness, highlighting the importance of considering multimodal perturbations in VL da	×	0.10
MAT T \rightarrow I (Cross, PGD-2) (Cross, BERT) All 83.7 67.5 77.4 61.4 72.2 51.1 37.5 24.8 8.79 -	×	0.01
TeCoA-ITR I (Cross, PGD-10) - All 83.1 68.2 77.7 61.9 64.7 42.7 27.5 17.6 10.29 \times 1.17	×	0.02
Finetune 92.1 77.2 0.6 0.6 66.6 50.1 0.1 0.1	×	0.02
FARE 75.9 61.0 27.1 21.0 45.2 32.3 9.1 6.9	×	0.01
TeCoA-ITR 83.1 68.2 27.5 17.6 58.0 41.6 9.6 6.2	×	0.02
Finetune 89.5 77.7 2.5 1.3 69.9 53.6 1.0 0.7	×	0.00
TeCoA-ITR 85.4 69.3 35.5 21.9 64.8 48.6 14.2 9.5	×	0.01
Finetune 72.9 57.5 1.2 1.1	×	0.00
TeCoA-ITR 64.6 51.8 20.2 13.9	×	0.00

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2504.07887v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.18770v6>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.10883v2>