

# Procedural Pretraining on Prolog vs. Lisp and CodeT5 Robustness on MBPP

Assignee Research

June 8, 2026

## Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 13 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How does procedural pretraining on logic-based languages like Prolog versus functional languages like Lisp affect CodeT5's robustness against semantic-preserving perturbations on the MBPP benchmark. 0 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 3.0/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

## 1 Introduction

This paper examines: PPTC-R benchmark: Towards Evaluating the Robustness of Large Language Models for PowerPoint Task Completion. Research question: How does procedural pretraining on logic-based languages like Prolog versus functional languages like Lisp affect CodeT5's robustness against semantic-preserving perturbations on the MBPP benchmark?.

## 2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 13 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 3.0/10.

## 3 Results

13 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 3.0/10.

## 4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

## References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.10860v5>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2601.21725v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03788v1>