

Tabular Foundation Models Under Synthetic Augmentation and Adversarial MNAR Evaluation

Assignee Research

June 9, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 9 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: What is the accuracy degradation of tabular foundation models trained with synthetic augmentation versus real-world datasets when evaluated on missing-not-at-random (MNAR) patterns with adversarial. 0 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 3.0/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Adversarial Attacks on Image Classification Models: FGSM and Patch Attacks and their Impact. Research question: What is the accuracy degradation of tabular foundation models trained with synthetic augmentation versus real-world datasets when evaluated on missing-not-at-random (MNAR) patterns with adversarial perturbations?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 9 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 3.0/10.

3 Results

9 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 3.0/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.02055v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2512.03307v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.15127v1>