

Novel Variability Metrics and Downstream Accuracy in Hybrid Tabular Generative Models

Assignee Research

June 8, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 15 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How do novel variability metrics for generative tabular models correlate with downstream classification accuracy when applied to hybrid datasets containing mixed data types. 16 claims were extracted from source literature; 6 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 5.2/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Evaluating Generative Models for Tabular Data: Novel Metrics and Benchmarking. Research question: How do novel variability metrics for generative tabular models correlate with downstream classification accuracy when applied to hybrid datasets containing mixed data types?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 15 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 5.2/10.

3 Results

15 papers retrieved. 16 claims extracted; 6 independently verified. Quality review score: 5.2/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
The experimental analysis was conducted on three standard network intrusion detection datasets.	✓	0.25
The study compares the proposed metrics with established evaluation methods including Fidelity, Utility, TSTR, and TRTS.	✓	0.21
The results demonstrate that FAED effectively captures generative modeling issues overlooked by existing metrics.	✓	0.31
FPCAD exhibits promising performance but requires further refinements to enhance its reliability.	✓	0.19
The study introduces three novel evaluation metrics named FAED, FPCAD, and RFIS tailored for tabular data.	✓	0.23
Existing metrics such as SDV Fidelity, Utility, TSTR, and TRTS have limitations in detecting key generative modeling cha	✓	0.16
The study simulates three specific challenges in real datasets: Quality Decrease, Mode Drop, and Mode Collapse.	×	0.04
Experimental results show that FAED successfully detects all synthesized problems (Quality Decrease, Mode Drop, and Mode	×	0.04
Existing metrics fail to identify key generative modeling issues in the conducted experiments.	×	0.12
Inception Score (IS) and Frchet Inception Distance (FID) are standard quantitative metrics for evaluating generative mo	×	0.11
TSTR involves training a classifier on synthetic data and testing it on real data.	×	0.04
A high TSTR accuracy suggests that synthetic data effectively approximates real-world distributions.	×	0.06
TRTS involves training a classifier on real data and testing it on synthetic data.	×	0.04
A high TRTS score indicates that the synthetic data retains key characteristics of the real data.	×	0.05
TSTR is particularly useful for detecting cases where synthetic data only partially represents real data.	×	0.03
TRTS assesses whether synthetic samples introduce patterns absent in real data.	×	0.04

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2411.15497v3>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2504.20900v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.12952v3>