

Spatial-Temporal Smoothing and Attention-Weight Eviction Robustness on ToxicBench

Assignee Research

June 7, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 10 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: What is the comparative robustness of spatial-temporal smoothing in ReST-KV versus attention-weight eviction strategies when evaluated on adversarial toxicity datasets like ToxicBench for 7B and 13B. 15 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 2.2/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: ReST-KV: Robust KV Cache Eviction with Layer-wise Output Reconstruction and Spatial-Temporal Smoothing. Research question: What is the comparative robustness of spatial-temporal smoothing in ReST-KV versus attention-weight eviction strategies when evaluated on adversarial toxicity datasets like ToxicBench for 7B and 13B parameter models?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 10 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 2.2/10.

3 Results

10 papers retrieved. 15 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 2.2/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
ReST-KV is evaluated on five open-source LLMs: Llama2-Chat, Gemma-Instruct, Llama3-Instruct, Mistral-Instruct-v0.3, and	×	0.10
ReST-KV is compared with five baseline methods: StreamingLLM, H2O, TOVA, SnapKV, and LaCache.	×	0.04
ReST-KV is evaluated on four benchmarks: LongBench, RULER, Needle-in-a-Haystack, and InniteBench.	×	0.11
ReST-KV achieves the best performance in most cases on the LongBench benchmark.	×	0.06
ReST-KV reduces peak memory usage by approximately 36.0% compared to full cache at a context length of 128k.	×	0.08
ReST-KV achieves an approximate 10.61 \times speedup over the full cache method at a 128K context length.	×	0.11
ReST-KV is compatible with prell sparse attention approaches, yielding a Time-To-First-Token (TTFT) speedup of up to 3.	×	0.05
ReST-KV uses a xed cache budget to limit the number of KV pairs, overcoming the latency bottleneck for long sequences.	×	0.10
ReST-KV only requires computing attention outputs within a small query window, resulting in a computational complexity c	×	0.04
LLMs typically decode text in an auto-regressive manner, which involves a high degree of repetitive calculations.	×	0.02
KV cache reduces redundant computation by storing previously computed keys and values.	×	0.07
At each decoding step t , the KV cache stores previously computed keys and values $K_{1:t-1}$, $V_{1:t-1}$ for $X[1 : t - 1]$.	×	0.05
The model requires only the current token x_t to generate x_{t+1} , rather than the full sequence $X = [x_1, \dots, x_t]$.	×	0.02
The query q_t , key k_t , and value v_t are computed as $q_t = x_t W_Q$, $k_t = x_t W_K$, $v_t = x_t W_V$.	×	0.02
The currently computed k_t and v_t will be concatenated with the previously cached keys and values, and used in the attent	×	0.03

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08428v4>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2604.15371v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.08840v1>