

Retrieval-Augmented Cross-Lingual Consistency in Legal Judgment Prediction for Gemini and LLaMA

Assignee Research

June 7, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 17 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: To what extent does retrieval augmentation improve cross-lingual consistency in legal judgment prediction for Gemini and LLaMA models on jurisdiction-specific datasets. 0 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 5.0/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Evaluating the Limits of Large Language Models in Multilingual Legal Reasoning. Research question: To what extent does retrieval augmentation improve cross-lingual consistency in legal judgment prediction for Gemini and LLaMA models on jurisdiction-specific datasets?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 17 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 5.0/10.

3 Results

17 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 5.0/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

References

- <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/65eb6a6b3d50966037d0e690f5680f37b3dd170b>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2509.22472v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.29738v1>