

# SOVEREIGN: How does the scaling behavior of SMOES-based MoE-VLMs compare to dense VLMs in terms of accuracy vs. total mod

SOVEREIGN Research Kernel

Autonomous draft — Owner review required before publication

May 28, 2026

## Abstract

Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) has become a prevalent backbone for large vision-language models (VLMs), yet how modality-specific signals should guide expert routing remains under-explored. Existing routing strategies are either hand-crafted or modality-agnostic, relying on idealized priors that ignore the layer-dependent modality fusion patterns in MoE-VLMs and provide little guidance for expert specialization. We propose Soft Modality-guided Expert Specialization (SMoES), which consists of dynamic soft modality scores that capture layer-dependent fusion patterns, an expert binning mechanism aligne

## 1 Introduction

Analysis of: SMOES: Soft Modality-Guided Expert Specialization in MoE-VLMs. Research goal: How does the scaling behavior of SMOES-based MoE-VLMs compare to dense VLMs in terms of accuracy vs. total model parameters across diverse multimodal reasoning benchmarks (e.g., MMMU, MathVista, ChartQA)?.

## 2 Methodology

Multi-query arXiv search (4 parallel queries, Relevance-sorted). TF-IDF cosine semantic verification (bigrams, threshold=0.15). NIM nv-embedqa-e5-v5 (dim=1024) for semantic indexing. Tribunal v2: 3-role parallel review (SKEPTIC/VALIDATOR/SYNTHESIZER) with revision round if score < 6.5.

### **3 Results**

9 papers retrieved. 0 claims extracted, 0 verified. Tribunal: 2.2/10 → REJECT (revision\_round=0). Policy: ESCALATE\_TO\_OWNER.

### **4 Uncertainties**

NIM free tier latency varies. TF-IDF verification is a weak signal. arXiv Relevance ranking is query-dependent. Tribunal consensus is LLM-based and prompt-sensitive.

### **References**

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2502.03009v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.14800v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2604.23996v1>