

ReST-KV Token Retention Robustness in Long-Context Retrieval Benchmarks

Assignee Research

June 9, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 14 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: Does the robustness of ReST-KV's token retention policy maintain higher perplexity scores than SnapKV and H2O when scaling context windows from 4k to 32k tokens on needle-in-a-haystack retrieval. 15 claims were extracted from source literature; 0 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 3.8/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: ReST-KV: Robust KV Cache Eviction with Layer-wise Output Reconstruction and Spatial-Temporal Smoothing. Research question: Does the robustness of ReST-KV's token retention policy maintain higher perplexity scores than SnapKV and H2O when scaling context windows from 4k to 32k tokens on needle-in-a-haystack retrieval benchmarks?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 14 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 3.8/10.

3 Results

14 papers retrieved. 15 claims extracted; 0 independently verified. Quality review score: 3.8/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
ReST-KV is evaluated on five open-source LLMs: Llama2-Chat, Gemma-Instruct, Llama3-Instruct, Mistral-Instruct-v0.3, and	×	0.04
ReST-KV is compared with five baseline methods: StreamingLLM, H2O, TOVA, SnapKV, and LaCache.	×	0.05
ReST-KV is evaluated on four benchmarks: LongBench, RULER, Needle-in-a-Haystack, and InniteBench.	×	0.09
ReST-KV achieves the best performance in most cases on the LongBench benchmark.	×	0.06
ReST-KV reduces peak memory usage by approximately 36.0% compared to full cache at a context length of 128k.	×	0.06
ReST-KV achieves an approximate 10.61 \times speedup over the full cache method at a 128K context length.	×	0.11
ReST-KV is compatible with prell sparse attention approaches, yielding a Time-To-First-Token (TTFT) speedup of up to 3.	×	0.05
ReST-KV uses a xed cache budget to limit the number of KV pairs, overcoming the latency bottleneck for long sequences.	×	0.09
ReST-KV only requires computing attention outputs within a small query window, resulting in a computational complexity c	×	0.05
LLMs typically decode text in an auto-regressive manner, which involves a high degree of repetitive calculations.	×	0.06
KV cache reduces redundant computation by storing previously computed keys and values.	×	0.07
At each decoding step t , the KV cache stores previously computed keys and values $K_{1:t-1}$, $V_{1:t-1}$ for $X[1 : t - 1]$.	×	0.05
The model requires only the current token x_t to generate x_{t+1} , rather than the full sequence $X = [x_1, \dots, x_t]$.	×	0.03
The query q_t , key k_t , and value v_t are computed as $q_t = x_t W_Q$, $k_t = x_t W_K$, $v_t = x_t W_V$.	×	0.03
The currently computed k_t and v_t will be concatenated with the previously cached keys and values, and used in the attent	×	0.02

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2408.10151v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2605.08840v1>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2505.09561v2>