

Scaling of Computational Overhead and Fine-Tuning Accuracy Gains in CausalMixFT for Tabular Foundation Models

Assignee Research

June 17, 2026

Abstract

Fine-tuning tabular foundation models (TFMs) under data scarcity is challenging, as early stopping on even scarcer validation data often fails to capture true generalization performance. We propose CausalMixFT, a method that enhances fine-tuning robustness and downstream performance by generating structurally consistent synthetic samples using Structural Causal Models (SCMs) fitted on the target dataset. This approach augments limited real data with causally informed synthetic examples, preserving feature dependencies while expanding training diversity. Evaluated across 33 classification datasets

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Causal Data Augmentation for Robust Fine-Tuning of Tabular Foundation Models. Research question: How does the computational overhead of fitting Structural Causal Models in CausalMixFT scale with dataset size relative to the gains in fine-tuning accuracy for tabular foundation models?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 15 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 7.1/10.

3 Results

15 papers retrieved. 17 claims extracted; 12 independently verified. Quality review score: 7.1/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
Experiments were conducted on the Mitra model across 33 classification datasets from the TabArena benchmark suite.	✓	0.18
The experimental setup involved 10 folds per dataset, totaling 2,310 fine-tuning runs.	×	0.12
Model performance was reported as normalized ROC-AUC relative to the pre-trained model.	✓	0.27
CausalMixFT achieved a median improvement of $+0.12 \pm 0.63$ over the pre-trained model.	✓	0.18
The default fine-tuning baseline achieved a median improvement of $+0.10 \pm 0.98$ over the pre-trained model.	✓	0.22
Purely synthetic augmentation methods (CTGAN, SCM, TabEBM, TableAugment, and MixedModel) showed negative median improvement	✓	0.21
CausalMixFT demonstrated lower performance variability (± 0.63) compared to the default fine-tuning baseline (± 0.98).	✓	0.15
In average rank analysis, CausalMixFT ranked first overall, followed by the default fine-tuning baseline.	✓	0.16
The normalization strategy uses the base model’s (Mitra’s) zero-shot performance as the baseline.	×	0.06
The normalization formula is defined as: $\text{score_normalized} = \text{metricsign} \times (\text{score_method} / \text{score_baseline} - 1) \times 100\%$.	×	0.00
In the normalization formula, metricsign is set to 1 for metrics where higher is better (e.g., ROC-AUC) and -1 for metri	×	0.09
The method generates synthetic data using Structural Causal Models (SCMs) fitted to the target dataset.	✓	0.20
Structural relations between features are estimated using the PC and FCI algorithms.	✓	0.16
The estimation of structural relations produces a probabilistic adjacency matrix encoding edge strengths between variabl	×	0.14
DAGs are sampled and fitted using DoWhy’s SCM framework with additive noise models.	✓	0.24
In the SCM framework, numerical features are modeled with regressors and categorical features with classifiers.	✓	0.20
Synthetic samples are generated by sampling exogenous noise and propagating it through the fitted SCM.	✓	0.22

References

- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2601.04110v2>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2506.16791v4>
- <http://arxiv.org/abs/2512.03307v1>