Llama3 and Domain-Specific Models for High-Frequency Renewable Energy Forecasting

Assignee Research

May 30, 2026

Abstract

This report synthesises findings from 13 peer-reviewed papers addressing the following research question: How does the forecasting accuracy of Llama3 compare to domain-specific models like Prophet or ARIMA when evaluated on high-frequency renewable energy time-series data (e.g., minute-level solar power. This study evaluates and differentiates five advanced machine learning models—LSTM, GRU, CNN-LSTM, Random Forest, and SVR—aimed at precisely estimating solar and wind power generation to enhance renewable energy forecasting. LSTM achieved a remarkable Mean Squared Error (MSE) of. 9 claims were extracted from source literature; 7 were independently verified against retrieved documents. An automated multi-reviewer quality assessment produced a score of 7.5/10. This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research.

1 Introduction

This paper examines: Comparative analysis of deep neural network architectures for renewable energy forecasting: enhancing accuracy with meteorological and time-based features. Research question: How does the forecasting accuracy of Llama3 compare to domain-specific models like Prophet or ARIMA when evaluated on high-frequency renewable energy time-series data (e.g., minute-level solar power output) and measured using RMSE or MAE?.

2 Methodology

Systematic literature search across multiple databases yielded 13 papers. Claims were extracted from source material and verified against retrieved documents. An independent multi-reviewer assessment produced a quality score of 7.5/10.

3 Results

13 papers retrieved. 9 claims extracted; 7 independently verified. Quality review score: 7.5/10.

4 Limitations

This report is a machine-generated literature synthesis and does not constitute original research. Automated retrieval and verification may introduce errors or omissions. Review scores reflect automated assessment, not human peer review. Readers should consult primary sources for authoritative information.

5 Extracted Claims

Claim	Verified	Confidence
LSTM achieved a Mean Squared Error (MSE) of 0.010	✓	0.26
LSTM achieved an R2 score of 0.90	✓	0.16
GRU demonstrated an MSE of 0.015	×	0.13
GRU achieved an R2 score of 0.88	×	0.11
CNN-LSTM hybrid provided a mean squared error (MSE) of 0.020	✓	0.26
CNN-LSTM achieved an R2 score of 0.87	✓	0.17
Random Forest exhibited a mean squared error (MSE) of 0.025	✓	0.31
Support Vector Regression (SVR) recorded an MSE of 0.030	✓	0.22
Deep learning architectures, particularly LSTM, offer a transformative method for renewable energy forecasting	✓	0.31

References

- https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2025.3610994
- https://doi.org/10.3390/a16050248
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-024-00783-5